

"TRADITIONS OF THE OLD COUNTRY HAMPER A FRESH SPIRIT"

Sydney Morning Herald 8 March 1988

AUSTRALIA UNLIMITED

Traditions from the Old Country hamper a fresh spirit



FROM the very beginning of European settlement, the law was imported for Australia. The first three convicts were creators of the harsh English criminal system. By the standards of the criminal trial and the means inflicted at the time of execution, the first five years of the century. However, they were not nearly as brutal nor much worse than what was going on in England, and during the first five years of the century, the first five years of the century. Considering the nature of the law in the classic circumstances of the time, and the circumstances in which all were acting, it is amazing things were done.

The idea of importing English law to the new colony was not the idea of the establishment of the colony. Governor Phillip, a man who had been drawn in London to the acquisition of new colonies "plantations", where the territory was "occupied", and its security by con-

and emancipists for an end to the transportation of convicts, and the establishment of a local legislature. That these were achieved in little more than 50 years is itself a remarkable feat. It demonstrates that, even while opening up the "Great South Land" by exploration, the institutions of law and the idea of reform were deeply embedded in the culture of the new colonies.

THIS is continually undoubtedly gives strength of one sort to our legal institutions. Their authority and legitimacy are firmly established. On the other hand, both in Parliament and in the courts, it has tended to crush or overwhelm most of the flashes of originality, before they could get very far in the legal system.

Every now and again, an ancient British statute was discovered, to the astonishment of the parties, to apply to trials was as a "frozen continent". This was doubtless a reference to the dismal record of amendments to the Australian Constitution achieved at the ballot box.

The consequence is that the Australian Constitution is one of the oldest and least changed basic laws continuously in operation in the world. But many of its ideas necessarily reflect the political theory, economic policy and national philosophy of colonial men of

the 1870s. Colonists were so dazzled by the federal arrangements of the United States Constitution that their own creativity was dampened. The few human rights provisions, so far at least, attracted narrow interpretations. No general bill of rights was included to restrain the elected discretion of Parliament.

All of this appears to paint a rather sober and unexciting image of the public law of Australia. Law came with the Fleet. The institutions of courts and the Supreme Courts soon followed. Continuity and stability was the name of the game played in those courts. The basic law and legal techniques were imported from England so far as they were applicable to the circumstances of the colony and sometimes even where they were not.

Every now and again, an ancient British statute was discovered, to the astonishment of the parties, to apply to trials was as a "frozen continent". This was doubtless a reference to the dismal record of amendments to the Australian Constitution achieved at the ballot box.

So the courts looked the same 50 years ago. The precedents were virtually the same. The court dress was

identical, despite the heat. The bulk of statute law remained for a long time imported from England. And the English court decisions blinkered local creativity. We were British subjects. We were subject to English law — or at least the Australian version of it. But few developments distanced out. The inclusion of the enactment, against much local, legal and judicial opposition, of the Real Property Act 1858 of South Australia. This introduced the Torrens system of registered title for land which has now spread to all parts of Australia and many parts of the world.

The passage of modern arbitration and trade union legislation, probably derived, immediately, from New Zealand statutes. But Governor Macquarie had given magistrates powers to quarantine in certain circumstances. This fit was given in certain circumstances. This fit was given in certain circumstances. This fit was given in certain circumstances.

EVEN the High Court of Australia followed this approach. The termination of Pines, Council of Ministers, over the last 20 years has at last released our courts from the apron strings of England. Whereas the link was natural and useful in the early days, it turned too long, distorted the adaptiveness of the law in a number of respects and doused the flames of originality.

The development of goldmining tended to keep pace with introduced laws in the 1860s. It related mining leases on later privately owned land.

Reforms of defamation law probably not adopted in England were

gladly embraced in the NSW colony. By the way, a defence to a libel. References to the correct origins of a colonist might be true, but in the sensitive times, the truth could be unkind and hurtful. So the need to prove that what was said was not only true but for the public benefit was adopted in other jurisdictions along to the Common Law. To this day, the defences are different in different States.

The tyranny of legal history still holds sway. Attempts of the Law Reform Commission to secure a national standard suitable to the modern technology of communications foundered on the rock of legal diversity.

Sometimes the colony could achieve law reform before the mother country. For example, NSW and South Australia permitted the accused in criminal trials to give evidence on oath 10 years before this was allowed in England, as recently as 1898. Indeed, NSW established its first law reform commission before this was allowed in England, as recently as 1870, although it expired a few years after the case in Britain.

Thus, then, is the picture of the law in

Australia during its first 200 years — a few notable exceptions, there being little of the spirit of "Australia Unlimited" about the law, its institutions or its practitioners. The last case in itself, for the most part, as far as the tenets of the declaratory theory of law find the facts; discover pre-existing applicable statute of the law apply to the facts. The result follows automatically.

The growth of local parliamentary development of a body of local law, the adoption of new rules, the incorporation in Australian law in 10 years. The impact of American pragmatism was also felt — pointing out the many situations there is simple, clear, applicable rule. Old rules therefore be stretched and adapted.

So, on one hand, there was a strong element of hospitable land. There was deep conservatism about constitutional fundamentals — and suspicious indifference to law reform. As a result, a land dedicated to civilising mission of British justice. At the same time, one whose law the other counterbalanced the deprivation of the indigenous people of their land and culture and unprecedented levels of imprisonment of their people.

These may seem harsh judgments upon the law and its practice. Perhaps the earlier generation lawyers simply reflected the world the time they lived in. Certainly, helped to transplant institutions and rules which have many admirable qualities and which, when used in retrospect, from their unbroken beginning, they can be seen as, perhaps the most brilliantly created, perhaps the most modern, and certainly the most successful of modern law itself. But at least they contributed society where we can be criticised and others, without look over our shoulders in fear.

As Prince Charles said on Jan 26, 1981: "A country that only examines its own conscience is a nation living in a nation to be implicit in this royal judgment in assumption that from the national examination of conscience will be resolved to do better in the next year, which has just begun. Let us hope it."

Further reading: A. C. Castles, *A. C. Castles, Law Book Co., 1979 especially Chapter 18.*
Mr Justice Michael Kirby is President of the Court of Appeal, Supreme Court Justice and was the first Chairman of the Law Reform Commission. The news is personal news.