TWENTIETH AUSTRALIAN LEGAL CONVENTION

ADELATDE

THURSDAY 5 JULY 1979

CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

A GRAVEYARD OF LAW REFORM REPORTS

July 1979

TWENTIETH AUSTRALIAN LEGAL CONVENTION

ADELAIDE

THURSDAY 5 JULY 1979

CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION A GRAVEYARD OF LAW REFORM REPORTS

The Hon. Mr. Justice M.D. Kirby Chairman of the Australian Law Reform Commission

On 5 July 1979 Mr. Justice Kirby delivered a paper "Criminal Investigation and the Rule of Law" to the Australian Legal Convention in Adelaide. The paper is reproduced in the Australian Law Journal. In introducing the paper, he made the following observations.

LAWYERLY ACTIVITY LEADING NOWHERE .

In my paper I have described reform of the conduct of criminal investigation as a "graveyard" of law reform reports. Over the past decade or so, a great deal of intellectual energy by a lot of very busy people has been devoted to the improvement of the unsatisfactory features of the initial stages of the administration of criminal justice. Few indeed of the many reforms proposed (most of them with recurring themes) have been implemented by lawmakers. The list of recent reports makes sorry reading.

- * The Murray Report on Procedures of Interrogation (Victoria) 1965
- * Eleventh Report of the Criminal Law Revision Committee (England) 1972

- * The Mitchell Committee Report on Criminal Investigation (South Australia) 1974
- * The Report of the Australian Law Reform Commission on Criminal Investigation 1975
- * The Home Office Report on Feasibility of Tape Recording (England) 1976
- * The Beach Report on Allegations Against Members of the Victoria Police (Victoria) 1976
- * Sir Henry Fisher's Report on the Confait Case (England) 1977
- * The Lucas Report on the Enforcement of Criminal Law in Queensland 1977
- * Lord Thomson's Report on Criminal Procedure in Scotland 1978
- * The Norris Report on the Beach Report (Victoria) 1978

This proliferation of lawyerly activity leading nowhere produced the Prime Minister's rebuke at the opening of the last Legal Convention. His words should be recalled:

"This is an area in which there has been much dissatisfaction, considerable writing, many proposals for reform. But not much legislative action".

With wry irony, the Prime Minister asserted that it required a Cabinet with a majority of farmers rather than lawyers to take action.

The introduction of the Criminal Investigation
Bill 1977 by the Commonwealth Government and Senator Durack's
assurance that he hopes to reintroduce it in 1979 has
livened up the debate. So has the establishment of the
Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure in the United Kingdom.
After a remarkably long gestation, it seems as if we will
soon witness action of the review and modernisation of
police procedures.

BALANCING CLEAR-UP RATES AND LIBERTY

We live in an age of information indigestion. The purpose of my paper was to pull together some of the threads common to the reports I have mentioned. With so many reports and proposals for reform, what is needed is a compilation of the main recurring themes. That is what I have attempted.

e je overski se s

I start by pointing out frankly something that many good citizens (and many police) find it uncomfortable to face up to squarely. It is that we, in societies that have inherited the English criminal justice system, have rules of police conduct which result in a number of quilty men going free and avoiding deserved criminal punishment. Of course, if we were to reintroduce the rack to extract confessions, widespread telephone tapping by police, unlimited detention without trial and so on, we would almost certainly reduce crime in our society. We elect not to_do these things because, although we would reduce crime, we would also diminish the freedom of many good people in the process. Just where you strike the balance between:clear-uperates;con:thewone hand; and individual liberty, on the other, is a classic dilemma faced by all modern democracies. Uruguay was one of the few democracies in South America. Along came the Tupermaros terrorists. Police powers were increased : detention, phone tapping and so on. The Tupermaros were defeated. But a liberal democracy was dismantled in the process.

Our society's ambivalent attitude towards the rights of suspects stems, I believe, from a persistent unwillingness or inability to face squarely this dilemma. The chief thesis of my paper is that lawyers have a special role to point out to the community that, even at the almost intolerable price of guilty men avoiding their just deserts, it is essential that the proper balance be maintained between the authority of the State and individual freedoms. It has just not been our way of doing things to shrug off abuses of authority or indifference to the rule of law.

My paper adopts a chronological format and proceeds through three stages of police contact with the suspect. In each stage I recount new proposals for the control over investigation of offences by the police. The stages are before, during and after investigation.

THE LIMITS OF THIS STUDY

I should mention a few preliminary averments. In the first place, it is not appropriate and there is insufficient time for me to catalogue all of the new controls. It is not the business of my paper to justify the need for new controls. I do list the acknowledgement by police officers of the highest rank that "bluff", "stealth", "bending the rules" and "deception" are used. Whether there should be revision of the rights of the accused at the trial (as for example to modify the right to make an unsworn statement from the dock or the right, lately exercised by Mr. Jeremy Thorpe to remain silent before the jury) is an important question. But it is not the subject I was assigned to cover in my paper. I have every personal sympathy with the police view that current laws must be adjusted to accord with the needs of police, consistent with the balance I have described, necessary to protect the rights of individuals. This paper sticks to its topic : what new controls are proposed over criminal investigation.

CONTROLS BEFORE INVESTIGATION

Turning first to the checks before investigation,
I suggest that whatever dispute there may be about particular proposals, there can really be little doubt that there is a need to collect the vital rights and duties of police and suspects in an Australian statute which is available to all. If we take rights seriously, the first thing is to have rights. The second is to state them and tell people of them. It is amazing to me that these vital rules are still hidden away in casebooks, in the English Judges' Rules, 1912 and 1918 or in Police Commissioners' Instructions which are not always available to the citizen.

Of course, I acknowledge that it is extremely difficult to collect the rules and state them shortly and clearly. Part of the resistance to stating the rules is, I am sure, the ambivalence felt in some quarters towards the already existing rules when they are put down in black and white. In the process of stating the rules, we may help our own clear thinking about what the rules ought to be and whether we have the correct balance. Lord Devlin put it well

्रता । १९ - १ क्रम्प्रास्त्र १००० विक्रमात सङ्ग्रह १०५**० क्रिकेट १५३ - देख्य**ेनु स्टेट प्रकार १००० हो।

Society makes unreasonable demands upon its policemen. In my paper I call attention to the American figures which demonstrate the much longer period of formal training required of medical practitioners and even hairdressers than policemen. It has been said that a policeman is a semi-skilled worker doing a thoroughly professional job. Even today there is undue emphasis on physical size rather than intellectual or psychological suitability for selection. At a time when Parliament daily imposes new duties upon police, more attention should be paid to the intellectual and emotional qualities that are needed for the performance of those duties. Rudimentary educational qualifications will simply not be adequate in a society which looks to its police service to guard it against white collar crime, computer crime, environmental crimes and other modern wrongs against the public. In retrospect, I am sure that it is a strength of the Mitchell Committee Report and a weakness of the report of the Law Reform Commission, that so much attention was paid in the former to police selection, training and education.

True reform will require that we get the right people. But it will also require that we give them the right job to do. Police are required to enforce "unenforceable laws": many of them unreformed relics of previous social and moral attitudes. There is no doubt that the obligation of police in some areas of consensual adult sexual conduct, licensing, gambling and other like offences, have a disheartening effect on morale, discipline and honesty within the force. Reform of substantive laws must accompany reform of police procedures.

CONTROLS DURING INVESTIGATION

In the part of my paper dealing with checks during investigation, I mentioned several included in the Criminal Investigation Bill and in numerous recent reports. The High Court of Australia has also alluded to them in strong statements in *Driscoll*. This is undoubtedly a most vexed area of our jurisprudence. Lord Hailsham, now Lord Chancellor, recently declared in the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council that the rules governing the admissibility of extra-judicial confessions are "in many ways unsatisfactory". *D.P.P. v. Ping Ling* [1976] A.C. 574; Wong v. The Queen [1979] 2 W.L.R. 90.

The Criminal Investigation Bill, the Mitchell Report and other recent reports seek to address this unsatisfactory state of affairs. Some of the proposals, I will not deal with. They include proposals for:

- * The presence of independent witnesses, including justices and magistrates to verify confessional statements
- * The presence of friends or family during interrogation
- * The right of access to a lawyer and to notification of that right prior to interrogation
- * Written notification of rights generally, including in the language in which the suspect is fluent.

The most persistent recurring theme relates to the suggestion that there should be sound (and possibly video) recordings

of confessional statements to police. I will not list the reports and judicial statements that have proposed this facility to set at rest the disputes about confessions. Suffice it to say that they include comments by Mr. Justice Sholl in 1962, the Murray Report in 1965, the Eleventh Report of the English Criminal Law Revision Committee in 1972, the Thomson Report in Scotland in 1975, the Home Office Feasibility Study in 1976, the Beach Report in 1976, the Lucas Report in 1977, the Fisher Report in 1978 and the High Court of Australia in 1977 Despite all this talk, nothing of any significance has happened. All we see are more committees and more reports. At last, the Commonwealth's Criminal Investigation Bill proposes action based upon the Second Report of the Australian Law Reform Commission. Perhaps it is appropriate that the Federal Police, with their special emphasis on drug and white collar offences, should pioneer the nation's experiment in the sound recording of confessional statements. Who can doubt that sound and video recording will be a routine policing method of the 21st century? ...I assert that in its power to capture every hesitation, every inflection, every error of the guilty accused this technology will prove, in the dramatic medium of the trial, a great weapon in the Crown's armoury for bringing guilty criminals to justice.

CONTROLS AFTER INVESTIGATION

So far as new controls after investigation are concerned, several of them are mentioned by me in my paper :

- * The creation of special units of the police to internalise self-control and discipline.
- * New independent complaints mechanisms, utilising the Ombudsman.
- * Removal of archaic laws which frustrate civil recovery (on the basis that the Crown is not vicariously liable for the wrongful acts of police).
- * Possible judicial review of prosecution decisions.
- * Revitalisation of the rules on the rejection of evidence wrongly or illegally obtained by the police.

So far as the proposal for a special unit of police is concerned, since the Australian Law Reform Commission reported in 1975, most of the State Police Forces have set up their own independent investigating unit modelled on the A.10 unit introduced at Scotland Yard by Sir Robert Mark. Last month, Senator Durack announced the Federal Government's intention to accept the substance of the Law Reform Commission's proposals for the new Federal Police of Australia.

The Ombudsman has already taken up his functions of scrutinising police investigation of complaints under legislation in the Northern Territory of Australia and in New South Wales. Under the Federal Government's announced scheme he will also have a vital role in the Commonwealth's sphere, thereby providing an independent, neutral, external guardian against unfair closing of the ranks to protect fellow policemen.

The abolition of the vicarious liability immunity has already been enacted in Queensland and this anomalous immunity will also be removed in the Commonwealth's legislation.

The revamping of the exclusionary rule : requiring the exclusion of some evidence (however probative) on the grounds of the illegal or unfair way in which it was gathered has actually occurred : very much along the lines proposed by the Australian Law Reform Commission in advance of legislation. The High Court of Australia in Bunning v. Cross (with the splendid and perceptive extract of which I have closed my paper) demonstrated that law reform can still be done by the highest courts grasping and resolving a recurring problem for the administration of justice. The recent decision of the Privy Council in Wong shows that the English judges too are edging towards a similar revived role of the judiciary as active guardians of a principle that transcends even the deserved conviction of a particular accused.

Lord Hailsham in Wong put it thus :"I have stated elsewhere it. that the man in the rule, common to the law of Hong Kong and that of England, relating to the admissibility of extra-judicial confessions is in many ways-unsatisfactory webutthany civilised or new or system of criminal jurisprudence must accord to the judiciary some means of ... excluding confessions or admissions obtained by improper methods. This is not only because of the potential unreliability of ... es es such statements, but; also; and perhaps; equal-. See mainly, because in a civilised society; it a mini is vital that persons in custody or charged. with offences should not be subjected to wan a ill treatment or improper pressure in order. to extract confessions".

The second secon

KEEPING THE BALANCE

These comments of the present Lord Chancellor take us back to the balance that must be struck ... My paper was prepared, as was the Law Reform Commission's report, in a positive spirit. The two years since the last Convention have not been particularly happy from the point of view of police relations with the public. Three State Commissioners have left their posts in advance of due date by resignation or, in one case, dismissal. As well, there have been recurring cases of individual misconduct by policemen. Such cases are exceptional and they must never divert us from an appreciation of the irreplaceable work which police do for us. But every unredressed case of abuse of authority stains the society that shrugs it off. We must be sensitive to police calls for greater realism in the law. But we must be equally sensitive to the need to ensure, by practical measures, that the rule of law - that unique feature of liberal Western communities - is not forgotten in our impersonal, anonymous society.